SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	x :
In the matter of the Arbitration of	: De alest Ma
SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY OF HARTFORD	: Docket No
Itself and as Successor in Interest to	:
THE FIRE AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT and THE CONNECTICUT	:
INDEMNITY COMPANY,	: EMERGENCY
Petitioner,	: DECLARATION OF : REGAN A. SHULMAN : IN SUPPORT OF
-against-	: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
COMMERCIAL RISK REINSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED (BERMUDA) and COMMERCIAL RISK RE-	: : :
INSURANCE COMPANY (VERMONT),	:
Respondents.	· :
	: X

**REGAN A. SHULMAN**, hereby declares under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am associate with the of the firm of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP, attorneys for Petitioners Security Insurance Company of Hartford, Itself and as Successor in Interest to The Fire and Casualty Insurance Company of Connecticut and The Connecticut Indemnity Company ("Petitioner"). I make this Emergency Declaration, pursuant to Local Rule 6.1(d), in support of Petitioner's Order to Show Cause.
- 2. The parties to the instant case are parties to an arbitration in which a June 25-29, 2007

  Arbitration Hearing has been set. As fully detailed in the accompaning Petition for an

  Order Compelling Arbitration, Respondents have indicated their refusal to comply with

Case 1:07-cv-03277-VM Document 17 Filed 11/15/2007 Page 2 of 2

the agreed upon terms of the arbitration agreement, and as a result, threaten to delay the

scheduled Arbitration Hearing.

I declare that this ex parte motion, and the expedited briefing schedule and oral argument

it seeks, are necessary under the instant circumstances because, due to the delay caused

by Respondents' refusal to arbitrate as agreed, there is an imminent risk that the parties

will be unable to proceed with their Arbitration Hearing currently scheduled for the week

of June 25-29, 2007. A decision on the composition of the Arbitration Panel must

necessarily precede any work the Panel can perform, and must be made sufficiently in

advance to allow the Panel to prepare for the upcoming hearing, as scheduled.

Thus, Petitioner requests a five day period for opposition papers, if any, and a two day 4.

period for reply papers, if any, with oral argument promptly thereafter.

3.

Dated: New York, New York

April 24, 2007

-2-